Neuro-Symbolic Artificial Intelligence Chapter 8 Neuro-Symbolic Programming

Nils Holzenberger

April 8, 2024

Nils Holzenberger

NeurSym-AI — NeurSym Programming

April 8, 2024





End-to-end Differentiable Proving



2 Probabilistic Soft Logic

Outline



End-to-end Differentiable Proving



End-to-end Differentiable Proving

End-to-End Differentiable Proving

Tim Rocktäschel

University of Oxford tim.rocktaschel@cs.ox.ac.uk Sebastian Riedel University College London & Bloomsbury AI s.riedel@cs.ucl.ac.uk

Rocktäschel & Riedel, End-to-end Differentiable Proving, NIPS 2017

Nils Holzenberger

NeurSym-AI — NeurSym Programming

```
The problem
```

Inconsistent KBs

```
country("Austria").
capitalOf("Austria", "Vienna").
city("Wien").
country("Switzerland").
neighbor("Schweiz", "Austria").
capital("Suisse", "Berne").
city("Bern").
neighboring_capitals(Cap1, Cap2) :-
    capital(Ctr1, Cap1), capital(Ctr2, Cap2),
    neighbor(Ctr1, Ctr2), city(Cap1), city(Cap2),
    country(Ctr1), country(Ctr2).
```

?- neighboring_capitals("Switzerland", "Austria").

https://github.com/mledoze/countries

The solution

- Distinct symbols represent the same entities
 Österreich, Oesterreich, Austria, Autriche → Austria
- Soft, parametric unification \mathbf{u}_{θ} :
 - anything can unify with anything, e.g. Österreich with Austria
 - but every unification incurs a cost
 - as we go through the SLD tree, we keep the proofs with highest scores
- In detail:
 - Two variables $u_{\theta}(X, Y) \rightarrow \text{score of } 1 \text{ and } X=Y$
 - A variable and a constant $u_{\theta}(X, c) \rightarrow \text{score of } 1 \text{ and } X=c$
 - Two constants $u_{\theta}(a, b) \rightarrow \text{score of } \exp(-||\theta_a \theta_b||)$
- Every constant and every predicate *a* is represented by a high-dimensional, learnable vector θ_a
- The idea is that the vectors Österreich, Oesterreich, Austria, Autriche will end up close together Rocktäschel & Riedel, End-to-end Differentiable Proving, NIPS 2017

Extensions

- Started as a PhD thesis in 2017
- Has been extended for
 - scalability (speed of inference + size of KB) ¹
 - use directly on natural language²
 - producing explanations³

¹Minervini et al, Towards Neural Theorem Proving at Scale, NAMPI@ICML 2018 ²Weber et al, NLProlog: Reasoning with Weak Unification for Question Answering in Natural Language, ACL 2019 Minervini et al, Differentiable Reasoning on Large Knowledge Bases and Natural Language, Knowledge Graphs for eXplainable Artificial Intelligence 2020 ³Bianchi et al, Knowledge Graph Embeddings and Explainable AI, Knowledge Graphs for eXplainable Artificial Intelligence 2020

Nils Holzenberger

Outline





2 Probabilistic Soft Logic

The problem

- For some problems, we can leverage structure, e.g. social and biological networks
- For some problems, we can leverage large amounts of data, e.g. the Web
- Structured models don't scale very well, so how do we leverage both?

The solution

Hinge-Loss Markov Random Fields and Probabilistic Soft Logic

Stephen H. Bach Computer Science Department Stanford University Stanford, CA 94305, USA	BACH@CS.STANFORD.EDU
Matthias Broecheler DataStax	MATTHIAS@DATASTAX.COM
Bert Huang Computer Science Department Virginia Tech Blacksburg, VA 24061, USA	BHUANG@VT.EDU
Lise Getoor Computer Science Department University of California, Santa Cruz Santa Cruz, CA 95064, USA	GETOOR@SOE.UCSC.EDU

Editor: Luc De Raedt

Bach et al, Hinge-Loss Markov Random Fields and Probabilistic Soft Logic, J. Mach. Learn. Res. 2017

Nils Holzenberger

NeurSym-AI — NeurSym Programming

The solution

- Rewrite Prolog-like rules into CNF, interpret them as objective functions
- Relax the resulting SAT problem using soft logic
- Use convex optimization to find the truth values (in [0,1]) for each grounded formula

Example

Knowledge base:

a(X) <- b(X). a(c1). b(c2).

Groundings + truth values:

a(c1)
$$x_1 = 1$$

a(c2) $x_2 \in [0,1]$
b(c1) $x_3 \in [0,1]$
b(c2) $x_4 = 1$

Turning the rule into an objective:

- a(c1) <- b(c1)
- $a(c1) \lor \neg b(c1)$
- min{1, x₁ + (1 x₃)} using Łukasiewicz logic

Full objective:

 $\underset{x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4}{\operatorname{argmax}} \min\{1, x_1 + (1 - x_3)\} + \min\{1, x_2 + (1 - x_4)\}$

Actual objective: $\underset{x_2, x_3}{\operatorname{argmax}} \min\{1, 2 - x_3\} + \min\{1, x_2\}$

 \rightarrow x₂ = 1 and the value of x₃ can be anywhere between 0 and 1.

Extensions

The package is called *Probabilistic Soft Logic (PSL)*

- It is well documented
 - Website⁴
 - Talks and tutorials
 - Wikipedia page
- It has been extended for scalability etc⁵

⁴https://psl.linqs.org/

⁵Magliacane *et al, foxPSL: A Fast, Optimized and eXtended PSL implementation*, Int. J. Approx. Reason. 2015

Extensions

It has been used in lots of applications

- Drug-drug interaction ⁶
- Entity resolution ⁷
- Recommender systems ⁸
- Stance prediction in online debates ⁹
- Knowledge graph inference ¹⁰

⁶Sridhar et al, A probabilistic approach for collective similarity-based drug-drug interaction prediction, Bioinform. 2016

⁷Bhattacharya & Getoor, *Collective entity resolution in relational data*, ACM Trans. Knowl. Discov. Data 2007

⁸Kouki et al, HyPER: A Flexible and Extensible Probabilistic Framework for Hybrid Recommender Systems, RecSys 2015

⁹Sridhar et al, Joint Models of Disagreement and Stance in Online Debate, ACL 2015
 ¹⁰Pujara et al, Knowledge Graph Identification, ISWC 2013

Nils Holzenberger